

Table 30.4: A and B: Selected Asia System Rankings from U21 2017, QS Higher Education System Strength Rankings 2016 and WIPO Global Innovation Index

Table 30.3.A U21 categories, QS and WIPO composite scores

TABLE 30 1.A. U21 Rankings of selected National Higher Education Systems										
		2017			2017		2017	2016	2017	
		SCORE	Resources	Environ ment	Connec tivity	Out- put	QS	WIPO		
2017	2012	2017	20%	20%	20%	40%				
1	1	United States	100	6	1	13	1	1	4	
6	11	Singapore	80.8	4	4	8	12	21	7	
14	18	Hong Kong	73.7	12	6	11	22	20	16	
20	20	Japan	63.2	24	22	25	15	10	14	
21	21	Taiwan	60.7	29	10	22	24	17		
22	22	South Korea	59	21	43	30	18	9	11	
30	39	China	52.7	43	19	45	21	8	22	

U21 - 50 systems:

Resources - pg. 10; Environment pg. 13; Connectivity pg.15; Output pg17

QS Methodology: - 50 systems <https://www.topuniversities.com/system-strength-rankings/methodology>

WIPO Global Innovative Index -25 countries

http://www.wipo.int/pressroom/en/articles/2017/article_0006.html#top

<http://www.universitas21.com/article/projects/details/158/overall-2016-raning-scoresr>

See Appendix 30 B. for definitions of indicators

Table 30 3 B: QS Higher Education System Strength

<https://www.topuniversities.com/system-strength-rankings/2016>

	OVER ALL	SYSTEM	ACCESS	FLAGSHIP	ECONOMIC
China	8	7	27	8	2
Korea	9	12	17	10	10
Japan	10	10	24	11	8
Taiwan	17	18	23	15	18
Hong Kong	20	15	20	9	34
Singapore	21	3	26	4	51

NOTE: US is number one in all categories

See Appendix 30 B for definitions of the indicators.